

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/719,956	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ZHOU, XUE MEI	
	<b>Examiner</b> Stephen Kapushoc	<b>Art Unit</b> 1634	

**All Participants:**

(1) Stephen Kapushoc.

(2) Sandra Wells.

**Status of Application: Allowance**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 8 August 2007

**Time:** 4:30pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

1, 4-12

Prior art documents discussed:

NA


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was discussed that an amendment to the claim 1 to move the clause that indicates that the 'plurality of nucleic acid probes of the array comprises' the sequences of SEQ ID NO: 1-699,466 to the beginning of the claim and to remove the phrase 'nucleic acid probes of the array' would more clearly define the intended scope of the claim as comprising probes, wherein the probes consist of SEQ ID NO: 1-699,466. Amendments to claims 8, 10, and 11 for the purposes of removing issues under 112 2nd for lack of antecedent basis were discussed. Applicant's representative agreed to the proposed amendments to put the claims in condition for allowance.